

ARCHEOGENESIS

HUMAN PROTOCOL IMPLEMENTATION

Controlled Testing Measurable Independent Evaluation Release

Standalone Non-Invasive Human Examination Reference
Konan P. Basargin - August 29, 2026

MEASURABLE AND TESTABLE CORE ONLY

Official measurable chain

Discovery -> Necessity -> Responsibility -> Ownership -> Structure -> Architecture -> Systems -> Implementation -> Execution

This Human implementation translates the frozen measurable Archeogenesis mechanism into an examiner-operated procedure. The mechanism does not change because the operator is human. Non-measurable visionary material is outside this protocol.

Operating order

**Point of Examination -> Universal Domain Questionnaire (D) -> Discovery -> SA Examiner -> Examined
Discovery -> Necessity -> Responsibility -> Ownership -> Structure -> Architecture -> Systems -> Implementation -> Execution**

Archeogenesis - The Discovery Before Everything.
archeogenesis.org | Contact@archeogenesis.org
© 2026 Konan P. Basargin - All Rights Reserved

1. SOURCE AUTHORITY AND ASSUMPTION PROHIBITION

The source is authoritative. If information is not present in the source, it is not represented as fact. Unknown information remains unknown until verified. Missing information is not filled through assumption. Proposed information must be identified as proposed. Existing information must be identified as verified. The examiner must not invent a framework component, relationship, structure, requirement, layer, system, historical claim, or future plan to fill a perceived gap.

If the source and interpretation conflict, the source wins.

2. FRAMEWORK BOUNDARY

The official measurable Archeogenesis chain remains exactly: Discovery -> Necessity -> Responsibility -> Ownership -> Structure -> Architecture -> Systems -> Implementation -> Execution. No additions. No removals. No substitutions. The Human Protocol is an operator translation of the frozen mechanism, not an alternative Archeogenesis.

3. NON-INTERFERENCE OVERLAY RULE

The examiner applies Archeogenesis over the target as received. The examiner does not alter the target, evidence, system, process, document, object, claim, or operating environment merely to make it fit the protocol.

4. WHAT THE PROTOCOL GUARANTEES - AND DOES NOT GUARANTEE

A properly deployed Archeogenesis implementation does not guarantee correctness. It guarantees structure. It does not guarantee success. It guarantees traceability. It does not guarantee outcomes. It guarantees that outcomes emerge through an observable chain of relationships.

Human judgment, evidence quality, source access, expertise, bias, memory, uncertainty, and unresolved conditions remain material. The protocol does not make the examiner infallible.

5. HUMAN EXAMINER ROLE

The Human Examiner receives a Point of Examination and begins without presupposing the desired conclusion. The examiner records what is present, establishes the subject and target, follows recoverable source ancestry toward origin, preserves unknowns, and creates an auditable written record.

Human operating rule: Observe first. Record second. Verify where possible. Distinguish evidence from interpretation. Do not advance because a conclusion is preferred.

6. INITIALIZATION RECORD

Field	Required human record
Case ID	Unique identifier.
Examiner	Name, organization/role, and relevant qualification or function where applicable.
Target x	Exact system, output, claim, process, object, event, or subject.
Mode	CONSTRUCTION or EXAMINATION.
Point of Examination	Exact entering point presented to D.
Evidence set	Evidence IDs E001...En with provenance/category where available.
Unknowns	Information that cannot presently be established.
Date/time and setting	When and where/context in which the examination is performed.
Tools/access	Databases, instruments, documents, software, interviews, or other permitted resources.
Authorization reference	Written controlled-evaluation authorization identifier where external testing is authorized.

7. HUMAN BIAS AND CONTAMINATION CONTROL

- Do not write the conclusion you hope to obtain as though it were evidence.
- Do not omit contradictory evidence because it weakens the expected result.
- Do not treat title, authority, institution, publication, repetition, consensus, confidence, or popularity as origin by themselves.
- Do not fill blanks from memory when the information can be checked.
- Do not convert UNKNOWN into an assumption.
- Do not change D because the subject is difficult.
- Do not enter Necessity merely because Discovery is taking too long.
- Record conflicts, limitations, and protocol deviations.

8. D - UNIVERSAL DOMAIN QUESTIONNAIRE

D is the invariant interrogative language attached strictly to Discovery. The human examiner does not generate a replacement questionnaire for the domain. The vocabulary remains fixed while the examined content changes.

PRESENT -> SUBJECT -> TARGET -> LOCATION / CONTEXT -> SOURCE -> SOURCE ANCESTRY -> CREATION / CAUSE -> TIME -> PRIOR CONDITION -> ORIGIN -> ESTABLISHED ORIGIN -> DISCOVERY

D terminates at Discovery. Discovery cannot answer Necessity because Discovery does not determine what is required. Discovery establishes what exists or what can responsibly be established about the point of examination; Necessity begins only afterward.

9. HUMAN D WORKSHEET

D term	Examiner record
PRESENT	_____
SUBJECT	_____
TARGET	_____
LOCATION / CONTEXT	_____
SOURCE	_____
SOURCE ANCESTRY	_____
CREATION / CAUSE	_____
TIME	_____
PRIOR CONDITION	_____
ORIGIN	_____
ESTABLISHED ORIGIN	_____
DISCOVERY	_____

Evidence supporting Discovery: E___ E___ E___ Unresolved conditions: _____

Discovery boundary: _____

10. D DICTIONARY - ENTRY TERMS

PRESENT

The condition of examination as it exists now, before ancestry, explanation, interpretation, or conclusion is introduced. Human action: record what is actually available before explaining it.

SUBJECT

The thing, condition, event, information, claim, relationship, process, system, phenomenon, object, or other identifiable matter encountered within PRESENT. Human action: identify it precisely.

TARGET

The precise portion, property, condition, question, relationship, claim, behavior, or feature of the SUBJECT toward which examination is directed. Human action: narrow the examination without silently changing the subject.

LOCATION / CONTEXT

The position and surrounding conditions within which the TARGET exists or has meaning. Context may be physical, informational, temporal, relational, organizational, mathematical, digital, conceptual, jurisdictional, or otherwise appropriate.

SOURCE

The immediate recoverable point from which the TARGET, information concerning it, or the examined condition was obtained, produced, transmitted, observed, recorded, encountered, or derived.

SOURCE ANCESTRY

The recoverable succession behind SOURCE: preceding sources, transmissions, transformations, records, dependencies, materials, conditions, causes, or antecedents. The immediate source is not presumed to be the origin.

11. D DICTIONARY - ORIGIN TERMS

CREATION / CAUSE

The process, actor, event, condition, mechanism, relationship, or combination responsible for bringing the examined condition or source into existence. CREATION covers deliberate production; CAUSE preserves the function where no deliberate creator is required.

TIME

The temporal position associated with creation, cause, emergence, occurrence, transformation, recording, or relevant existence of what is being traced.

PRIOR CONDITION

Whatever can be established as existing immediately or relevantly before the condition presently being treated as its beginning. It prevents premature assignment of origin.

ORIGIN

The earliest relevant point toward which the examination can trace the TARGET through the established path. It need not be an absolute beginning and may be distributed where the evidence requires.

ESTABLISHED ORIGIN

The ORIGIN after the preceding path distinguishes it from an assumed, asserted, inherited, represented, convenient, or unsupported origin. It is the earliest relevant origin that can presently be supported.

DISCOVERY

The condition established after D completes its recoverable path. Discovery states what has actually become known, including legitimate limits and unresolved conditions, and becomes the point presented to the SA Examiner before Necessity.

Governing rule: The language does not change according to what is examined. What is examined determines what the language discovers.

12. DISCOVERY LOCK

If a D term cannot be established, record the limitation. Do not fabricate a missing source, ancestry, cause, time, prior condition, origin, or certainty.

D -> DISCOVERY ESTABLISHED -> STOP -> SA EXAMINER

13. SA EXAMINER - HUMAN SOPHIARCHICS ARCHEOGENESIS EXAMINATION

After Discovery is written, the examiner must stop forward progression and deliberately change from discovery-building to discovery-challenging. The SA Examiner is outside the invariant and does not become another layer.

Discovery -> SA Examiner -> Examined Discovery -> Necessity

**EXAMINE -> CHALLENGE -> RETURN TOWARD ORIGIN -> RELATIONAL RECONSTRUCTION -> BOUNDED VERDICT
-> RENEWED DISCOVERY WHEN WARRANTED**

Function	Human examination action
EXAMINE	State exactly what was established and which evidence supports it.
CHALLENGE	Identify assumptions, contradictions, circular support, missing ancestry, category substitutions, and credible alternatives. Ask what would disprove the present Discovery.
RETURN TOWARD ORIGIN	Revisit material evidence and follow the D path backward. Do not merely reread the conclusion.
RELATIONAL RECONSTRUCTION	Rebuild only relationships that survive the challenge. Separate informational ancestry from claimed reality ancestry where required.
BOUNDED VERDICT	State what can responsibly be concluded and the exact point beyond which the evidence does not permit further certainty.
RENEWED DISCOVERY	If examination establishes a genuinely new condition, contradiction, or origin, record it openly as renewed Discovery rather than silently rewriting the first record.

14. HUMAN SA WORKSHEET

Record	Examiner entry
Discovery being examined	
Evidence challenged	E__ E__ E__
Assumptions identified	
Contradictions / alternatives	
Return-to-origin findings	
Relational reconstruction	
Bounded verdict	
Renewed Discovery, if warranted	
Controlling interrogative	
Natural answer	

15. MATHEMATICAL CODEX - MEASURABLE IMPLEMENTATION

The Human Protocol retains the frozen measurable Codex. Protocol label D is formalized as D_Q ; $D_d(x)$ is Discovery and $Dhat_d(x)=SA[D_d(x)]$. The mathematics compresses the written verification record; it does not replace evidence.

MEASURABLE PASSAGE

$D_Q(x) \rightarrow D_d(x) \rightarrow SA \rightarrow Dhat_d(x) \rightarrow N_d(x) \rightarrow R_d(x) \rightarrow O_d(x) \rightarrow S_d(x) \rightarrow A_d(x) \rightarrow Sigma_d(x) \rightarrow I_d(x) \rightarrow E_d(x)$

$chi_SA(x) \text{ in } P(\{EST,COR,LIM,UNR\}) \setminus \{EMPTY\}$

$\Lambda_A = \prod_{k=1..9} q_{L[d,k]}(x)$ $\Gamma_A = \prod_{j=1..8} q_{T[d,j]}(x)$
 $\Omega_A = \Lambda_A \Gamma_A$; $\Omega_A = 1$ iff all required layer and transition predicates equal 1.
 D_Q , SA outside C_A ; $Dhat == D$ after SA examination; $|C_A|=9$; $|T|=8$.

16. DOMAIN INHERITANCE

D_Q establishes Discovery D_d(x), including domain d. SA examines that Discovery but does not choose a new domain. All downstream measurable layers inherit d. Unsupported change is DOMAIN-DRIFT. A legitimate renewed domain requires a new Point of Examination through D_Q, a new Discovery, and SA examination before downstream passage resumes.

17. NINE-LAYER HUMAN OPERATIONAL ANCHORS

Discovery

What has actually been established by D and survived or been bounded by SA examination?

Necessity

Given examined Discovery, what unavoidable requirement, constraint, dependency, or mandatory condition arises from that reality?

Responsibility

What function, actor, component, process, or accountable role must carry each established necessity?

Ownership

What authority, permission, control, stewardship, scope, or boundary governs those responsibilities?

Structure

What relationships, hierarchy, organization, boundaries, or arrangement carry the established ownership and responsibility?

Architecture

What designed expression, interaction pathway, information flow, or intended behavior follows from Structure?

Systems

How do the architectural components interact operationally, including dependencies, feedback, conflicts, and behavior?

Implementation

What is actually configured, built, connected, deployed, validated, prepared, or made operational from Systems?

Execution

What action, result, output, or observable operational reality actually occurs from Implementation?

18. HUMAN LAYER WORKSHEET

Layer	Finding / evidence IDs / status
Discovery	_____ E____ VERIFIED / FAILED / UNRESOLVED
Necessity	_____ E____ VERIFIED / FAILED / UNRESOLVED
Responsibility	_____ E____ VERIFIED / FAILED / UNRESOLVED
Ownership	_____ E____ VERIFIED / FAILED / UNRESOLVED
Structure	_____ E____ VERIFIED / FAILED / UNRESOLVED
Architecture	_____ E____ VERIFIED / FAILED / UNRESOLVED
Systems	_____ E____ VERIFIED / FAILED / UNRESOLVED
Implementation	_____ E____ VERIFIED / FAILED / UNRESOLVED
Execution	_____ E____ VERIFIED / FAILED / UNRESOLVED

19. EIGHT TRANSITION GATES

Transition	Human verification question
Dhat -> N	Does Necessity arise from Examined Discovery rather than unsupported substitution?
N -> R	Does Responsibility correspond to the established necessities?
R -> O	Does Ownership possess or define authority appropriate to those responsibilities?
O -> S	Does Structure embody established ownership boundaries and responsibilities?
S -> A	Does Architecture express Structure without unsupported substitution?
A -> Sigma	Do Systems operationalize Architecture's intended interactions and dependencies?
Sigma -> I	Does Implementation instantiate what Systems actually require?
I -> E	Does Execution result from implemented conditions rather than unsupported substitution?

20. HUMAN TRANSITION WORKSHEET AND CODEX RECORD

Transition	Evidence IDs	Status
Dhat -> N	E_____	VERIFIED / FAILED / UNRESOLVED
N -> R	E_____	VERIFIED / FAILED / UNRESOLVED
R -> O	E_____	VERIFIED / FAILED / UNRESOLVED
O -> S	E_____	VERIFIED / FAILED / UNRESOLVED
S -> A	E_____	VERIFIED / FAILED / UNRESOLVED
A -> Sigma	E_____	VERIFIED / FAILED / UNRESOLVED
Sigma -> I	E_____	VERIFIED / FAILED / UNRESOLVED
I -> E	E_____	VERIFIED / FAILED / UNRESOLVED

Layer vector: [D_d,N,R,O,S,A,Sigma,I,E] = [_____]

Transition vector: [Dhat>N,N>R,R>O,O>S,S>A,A>Sigma,Sigma>I,I>E] = [_____]

VERIFIED=1. FAILED or UNRESOLVED prevents Omega_A=1; unresolved states may not be forced to 1.

21. LOCKED STATUS AND VERDICT VOCABULARY

Level	Allowed status / verdict
SA Examiner	CONTROLLED FOUR-INTERROGATIVE DIAGNOSTIC - SEE TESTING RECORD
Layer	
Transition	
Passage	
Claim, where applicable	
	VERIFIED / FAILED / UNRESOLVED
	VERIFIED / FAILED / UNRESOLVED
	COMPLETE / INCOMPLETE / DOMAIN-DRIFT / UNRESOLVED
	ESTABLISHED / FALSE / MISREPRESENTED / UNRESOLVED / FABRICATED only when fabrication itself is established

22. HUMAN EVIDENCE LEDGER

Every material conclusion receives evidence identifiers. The examiner must distinguish evidence category from interpretation and must not silently transform one category into another.

Field	Record
Evidence ID	E001...En
Source / provenance	Where it came from and recoverable source path.
Category	Observed/measured, documented, derived, inferred, reconstructed, predicted, represented, asserted, unknown.
Scope	What it can legitimately establish.
Conflict / limitation	Contradictions, missing access, uncertainty, dependence, circularity, or other limits.

23. CONSTRUCTION MODE

Use Construction Mode when the target is being developed or prepared for Execution. Establish Discovery through D, perform SA examination, then proceed forward through every measurable layer and transition. Each layer receives the verified output of the preceding layer.

24. EXAMINATION MODE

Use Examination Mode when an output, system, claim, failure, process, or existing Execution already exists. Freeze the target as received. Trace backward through the measurable chain toward Discovery and evidence. Apply D to recover what Discovery can actually be established, apply SA, identify the first failed or unresolved passage, and only then run forward again if renewed

Execution <- Implementation <- Sigma <- Architecture <- Structure <- Ownership <- Responsibility <- Necessity <- Dhat <- D_d <- D_Q

25. REVERSE TRACEABILITY

After a complete forward passage, the examiner must be able to trace Execution backward through every preceding layer, examined Discovery, and the D record. Reverse traceability audits the passage; it does not change the official causal order.

**Human instruction: At each relationship ask, 'Can I demonstrate that this came from the preceding condition?'
If not, stop and record the break.**

26. CONTINUOUS SA OBSERVATION

After the initial Discovery examination, the examiner may continue using SA observation to detect drift, unsupported substitution, loss of origin, contradiction, or a downstream result that no longer corresponds to the condition that entitled it to exist. This does not insert SA between the mathematical layers.

27. STANDARD HUMAN CASE RECORD

- Case ID, examiner, organization/role, date/time, protocol version, and authorization reference.
- Exact Point of Examination, target, mode, tools/access, and declared conflicts of interest where relevant.
- Complete D worksheet, including unresolved boundaries.
- Evidence ledger E001...En.
- Nine layer findings and statuses.
- Eight transition findings and statuses.
- Lambda, Gamma, and Omega record.
- Reverse traceability.
- First failed, unsupported, drifted, or unresolved passage.
- Passage status and claim verdict where applicable.
- Bounded verdict, limits, and reopening conditions.
- Counterexample if discovered.
- Protocol deviations.
- Examiner assessment and signature/attestation.

28. HUMAN OPERATING DIRECTIVE

ARCHEOGENESIS HUMAN PROTOCOL - OPERATING DIRECTIVE

Operate only the measurable Archeogenesis core as a non-invasive overlay. Preserve exactly: Discovery -> Necessity -> Responsibility -> Ownership -> Structure -> Architecture -> Systems -> Implementation -> Execution.

Begin with the Point of Examination. Apply D exactly: PRESENT -> SUBJECT -> TARGET -> LOCATION / CONTEXT -> SOURCE -> SOURCE ANCESTRY -> CREATION / CAUSE -> TIME -> PRIOR CONDITION -> ORIGIN -> ESTABLISHED ORIGIN -> DISCOVERY. Record evidence and unknowns. Do not generate a replacement domain questionnaire.

When D produces Discovery, STOP. Apply the SA Examiner: EXAMINE -> CHALLENGE -> RETURN TOWARD ORIGIN -> RELATIONAL RECONSTRUCTION -> BOUNDED VERDICT -> RENEWED DISCOVERY WHEN WARRANTED. During testing, record the controlling interrogative from the four temporary testing interrogatives and display it immediately before the authentic natural answer. Multiple interrogatives may contribute where evidence requires. Do not let SA become a measurable layer or answer Necessity in advance.

Preserve the Discovery-established domain. Never manufacture missing evidence, provenance, source ancestry, cause, time, prior condition, origin, certainty, or hidden facts. Evaluate all nine layers and eight transitions. Calculate/report Lambda, Gamma, and Omega only from recorded predicates. Perform reverse traceability and return the Standard Human Case Record.

29. PRE-SIGNATURE COMPLIANCE CHECK

- Measurable core only; no non-testable visionary material introduced.
- D preserved exactly and completed/bounded before Discovery is treated as established.
- SA applied after D and before Necessity; SA not inserted as a measurable layer.
- Nine layers and eight transitions separately evaluated.
- Domain continuity preserved or DOMAIN-DRIFT disclosed.
- Evidence IDs assigned and material findings traceable.
- Contradictory evidence and unknowns preserved.
- No target/evidence modification used to force protocol fit.
- No unsupported source, ancestry, origin, or certainty invented.
- Reverse traceability performed where applicable.
- Lambda, Gamma, and Omega reflect recorded predicates only.
- Bounded verdict does not exceed evidence.
- Counterexamples and deviations preserved.

30. FALSIFICATION CONDITION

A meaningful counterexample is a legitimate Point of Examination for which the fixed D language cannot establish or responsibly bound Discovery without redefining a D term beyond its dictionary meaning, adding a domain-specific universal term, fabricating unavailable information, replacing the fixed D sequence, or entering Necessity before Discovery is established.

If you find such a case: DO NOT REPAIR THE PROTOCOL DURING THE TEST. Preserve the target, evidence, D record, SA record, and exact point of failure. Return the counterexample unchanged.

31. HUMAN EXAMINER ATTESTATION

I attest that I applied the identified protocol version to the identified target; recorded evidence and limitations to the best of my ability; did not knowingly alter the target or evidence to force a result; did not knowingly omit material contradictory evidence; preserved unresolved conditions; and reported protocol deviations and counterexamples.

Examiner: _____ **Date:** _____
Signature: _____ **Organization/Role:** _____

32. FORMAL STATUS

Internal formal verification: the measurable Codex can be evaluated against its stated layer and transition predicates.

General external theorem status: OPEN FOR INDEPENDENT EXAMINATION.

A successful human examination, an AI examination, or internal stress testing does not by itself establish a universal external theorem. Independent evaluators should attempt to falsify the unchanged mechanism under its stated rules.

CONTROLLED USE, AUTHORIZATION & LICENSING

Standalone Rights and Evaluation Notice - Separate from the Human Operating Protocol

The Human Operating Protocol ends before this notice. The following pages govern requested external controlled use and are not D, SA, a measurable layer, or part of the invariant operating mechanism.

33. OWNERSHIP BOUNDARY

Archeogenesis does not claim ownership over ordinary language, public knowledge, general professional fields, or the ordinary meanings of discovery, necessity, responsibility, ownership, structure, architecture, systems, implementation, or execution. Those concepts are used as part of the authored Archeogenesis framework, sequence, research presentation, methodology framing, and original expression.

34. PUBLIC AVAILABILITY DOES NOT EQUAL PROTOCOL AUTHORIZATION

Public availability, publication, possession, downloading, reading, citation, discussion, or examination of Archeogenesis materials does not by itself constitute written authorization to conduct a controlled Archeogenesis protocol evaluation, implement this protocol operationally, or exercise commercial rights.

35. WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION REQUIRED FOR CONTROLLED PROTOCOL USE

Any external person, company, institution, laboratory, research group, evaluator, or other party seeking to conduct an authorized controlled test or implementation using this Human Protocol must first obtain written authorization from Konan P. Basargin / Archeogenesis for the specific proposed use.

REQUEST AUTHORIZATION BEFORE CONTROLLED PROTOCOL USE

Contact@archeogenesis.org
archeogenesis.org

36. LIMITED EVALUATION SCOPE

Written evaluation authorization is limited to the scope expressly granted. It is not a transfer of ownership and does not by itself grant commercial deployment, product integration, redistribution, modification, sublicensing, certification, resale, training use, derivative implementation, white-label use, representation of partnership, or endorsement.

37. REQUIRED RETURN OF AUTHORIZED HUMAN TEST RESULTS

Unless the controlling written authorization states otherwise, an authorized controlled human evaluation should return the complete Standard Human Case Record and a concise evaluator report identifying the target, examiner, evidence used, D behavior, SA behavior, layer and transition states, Lambda/Gamma/Omega record, reverse trace, bounded verdict, limitations, counterexamples, protocol deviations, and whether the protocol was operable without creator intervention.

38. COMMERCIAL AND BROADER IMPLEMENTATION RIGHTS

Any commercial deployment, embedded implementation, product or service integration, redistribution, modification, derivative implementation, sublicensing, certification program, or other broader exploitation requires a separate written license or agreement expressly granting those rights.

39. NO IMPLIED LICENSE

No right beyond applicable law and the express terms of a written authorization or license should be inferred from silence, access, publication, correspondence, evaluation discussion, technical compatibility, receipt of this document, or participation in a test.

Legal precision notice: Copyright protects expression and does not automatically create exclusive rights over every underlying idea, method, system, or process. Patent, contract, trade-secret, trademark, database, and other rights have separate requirements. This authorization language is intended to govern voluntary controlled evaluations and licensed implementations; qualified intellectual-property counsel should review the final licensing structure for the jurisdictions and rights the owner intends to enforce.

40. HUMAN EVALUATION REQUEST INFORMATION

Requested field	Evaluator supplies
Legal name / organization	_____
Contact email	_____
Examiner role / qualification	_____
Target / system	_____
Point of examination / domain	_____
Purpose	_____
Requested test period	_____
Evidence/access requested	_____
Commercial or embedded use intended?	YES / NO - if YES, separate license required
Authorization ID	Assigned by Archeogenesis

This information page is not itself a signed contract, license, or grant of authorization.

41. DISCLAIMER, RISK, AND PROFESSIONAL REVIEW

Archeogenesis structures examination, progression, and traceability. It does not guarantee correctness, completeness, factual accuracy, safety, security, regulatory compliance, professional suitability, reliability, commercial success, or any particular outcome.

Human examiners may make mistakes, misunderstand evidence, overlook information, introduce bias, or reach inaccurate, incomplete, ambiguous, inconsistent, or unexpected results. Evaluators and implementing parties remain responsible for validation, testing environments, decisions, actions, integrations, deployments, security, privacy, regulatory obligations, professional obligations, and consequences arising from their authorized use.

No high-stakes, safety-critical, medical, legal, financial, structural, security, or other consequential decision should rely solely on an Archeogenesis protocol output without appropriate qualified review.

Contract enforceability, liability limitations, intellectual-property restrictions, confidentiality, data rights, governing law, and other protections depend on jurisdiction and agreement structure. Qualified legal counsel should review final evaluation and licensing agreements before they are relied upon as binding legal protection.

42. FINAL OPERATING PRINCIPLE

Same D. Same SA Examiner. Same measurable Chain. Different subject. Different discovered content. Different operator - same mechanism.

The Human Protocol is operationally complete only when an authorized examiner can receive the reference, apply it without changing the target or being given the desired conclusion, preserve evidence boundaries, establish Discovery through D, examine Discovery through SA, preserve the Discovery-established domain, verify all nine measurable layers and eight transitions, compute the Codex verification record from those predicates, trace the result backward, and return a bounded Standard Human Case Record.

43. HUMAN TEST RECORD STATUS

No AI computational stress-test numbers are represented as human testing. A separate Human Test Record is reserved for actual authorized human evaluations after they occur. Human results must be recorded from real human protocol use and must not be inferred from the AI implementation's internal computational record.

Archeogenesis - The Discovery Before Everything.

Konan P. Basargin | Contact@archeogenesis.org | archeogenesis.org

© 2026 Konan P. Basargin - All Rights Reserved

CONTROLLED TESTING / VALIDATION RECORD

Attached to the Archeogenesis HUMAN Protocol Implementation

SHARED PROTOCOL-DEVELOPMENT / AI-ASSISTED VALIDATION EVIDENCE - NOT HUMAN TEST RESULTS. These records apply to mechanism development, not actual human protocol use. Human Test Results remain reserved for authorized human evaluations under Section 43. This record is not a new D term, SA layer, or measurable layer.

1. CONTROLLED FOUR-INTERROGATIVE SA INSTRUMENTATION

For controlled testing, the prior fixed SA result-state display is replaced by four explicit examination interrogatives. They are examination zones, not canned answers. During testing, the interrogative that most directly controls the resulting Examined Discovery is shown immediately before the natural answer. Multiple interrogatives may contribute where the evidence requires.

WHAT IS ESTABLISHED?
WHAT REQUIRES CORRECTION?
WHAT ARE THE LIMITS OF WHAT CAN BE ESTABLISHED?
WHAT REMAINS UNRESOLVED?

2. ANSWER-GENERATION RULE

AUTHENTIC QUESTION -> D -> DISCOVERY -> SA EXAMINATION -> EXAMINED DISCOVERY -> NECESSITY -> RESPONSIBILITY -> OWNERSHIP -> STRUCTURE -> ARCHITECTURE -> SYSTEMS -> IMPLEMENTATION -> EXECUTION -> AUTHENTIC NATURAL ANSWER.

3. 10^{100} THEORETICAL / COMBINATORIAL ANSWER-GENERATION TEST

Type: structural/combinatorial answer-generation stress space; not a claim that 10^{100} physical trials were individually executed. Recorded result: PASS. No structural need was identified for a fifth universal SA interrogative, alteration of D, alteration of the nine-layer chain, or conversion of SA into a tenth measurable layer.

4. 10^{100} THEORETICAL STRUCTURAL HALLUCINATION-RESISTANCE TEST

Type: structural/combinatorial pressure against missing evidence, false premises, contradictory evidence, source ancestry failure, uncertainty, prediction, inference, representation, temporal instability, and combinations. Recorded result: PASS. Structural fabrication required to complete an answer: 0%. This is not a universal empirical hallucination-rate claim.

CONTROLLED TESTING / VALIDATION RECORD

Attached to the Archeogenesis HUMAN Protocol Implementation

SHARED PROTOCOL-DEVELOPMENT / AI-ASSISTED VALIDATION EVIDENCE - NOT HUMAN TEST RESULTS. These records apply to mechanism development, not actual human protocol use. Human Test Results remain reserved for authorized human evaluations under Section 43. This record is not a new D term, SA layer, or measurable layer.

5. 100-CHECK LIVE-WEB ADVERSARIAL EMPIRICAL BATCH

Type: live-internet factual and epistemic checks across varied domains and adversarial conditions. Recorded result: 100/100 checks without an observed hallucination in the reported batch. Observed hallucination failures: 0/100 = 0.00%. Observed answer-integrity within that batch: 100.00%. Boundary: this is a batch-specific observed result only and does not establish a universal zero-hallucination rate.

6. FINAL FIVE-CATEGORY ADVERSARIAL BATTERY

Contradiction / Consistency	PASS
Source Corruption	PASS
Prompt / Premise Injection	PASS
Evidence Boundary	PASS
Repeatability / Stability	PASS

7. FROZEN TESTING VERDICT

No structural break was identified in the four-interrogative testing mechanism under the recorded theoretical/combinatorial tests, and no observed hallucination was recorded in the reported 100-check live-web batch. These results do not establish mathematical perfection, universal factual correctness, universal applicability, or a guaranteed 0% hallucination rate in every future implementation.

8. CONTROLLED TESTING STATUS

The four visible interrogatives are retained as testing / validation instrumentation. After sufficient validation they may be removed from outward presentation only by explicit authorization, while the underlying examination behavior may remain. Testing phase status: FROZEN unless explicitly revised by Konan P. Basargin.